



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

the absurdity of those opinions we have all heard repeated thousands of times, of wool being converted into hair in the West Indies.

From a comparison of this with other facts I am perfectly satisfied that the varieties of this class of animals, possessing very distinct qualities inseparably connected with *breed*, are much greater, and infinitely more diversified than has hitherto been suspected. The *softness* of the Shetland wool is a peculiarity inseparable from it, insomuch that in the *coarsest* kinds of stockings made of this wool, which I have bought as low as four pence a pair, of a sufficient size for the largest man, I could undertake to distinguish them at the first *by the feel*, for their superior softness even above the finest Spanish wool.

Nº. XVIII.

An easy and accurate Method of adjusting the Glasses of Hadley's Quadrant, on Land for the Back-Observation, by ROBERT PATTERSON, in a Letter to Dr. DAVID RITTENHOUSE, President of the Society.

April 18th, 1794.

SIR,

Read April
18, 1794.

THERE are few if any instruments of modern invention, of more extensive use in the measuring of angles, than that invented by our countryman, Mr. Godfrey, but which has unjustly got the name of Hadley's quadrant.

I have however often regretted, that for want of some easy and accurate method of adjusting the glasses for the back-observation, practicable on land, and applicable to the *common octant*, this instrument was still so much limited

limited in its use. For when an angle exceeding 90 degrees is to be measured, or when an altitude of the sun, &c. exceeding 45 degrees is to be taken, by means of a reflecting horizontal surface, and such cases frequently occur, then we must either have recourse to the back-observation, or to some other instrument.

In order to remedy, in some measure, this inconvenience, the sextant, without any glasses for the back-observation, has been introduced; but even this instrument is incapable of measuring an altitude, by means of a reflecting horizontal surface, as above, when exceeding 60 degrees; and this will be the case with the meridian altitude of the sun, in most parts of the United States, during four months in the year.

Various methods of adjusting the glasses for the back-observation, have indeed been proposed; but these are either very inaccurate and troublesome, or inapplicable to the common octant, and require some appendage to the instrument, with which but very few have yet been made.

The following method of making this adjustment will not, I flatter myself, be found liable to any of the above objections.—It may be made on land, in a few minutes, at any time of the day when the sun shines; requires no additional apparatus, but what any person may readily make for himself; and the adjustment may be relied upon as equally accurate with that for the fore-observation.

Description of the Necessary Apparatus.

Take a piece of plane glass (a piece of looking-glass will do very well)—take the polish off one side of it, and cement it, with the rough side down, on the flat side of the segment of a wooden ball. The ball may be about three or four inches in diameter, and the piece of glass of about the same dimensions. Or the glass may be cement-
ed

ed to a piece of board, and this board to a three or four pound shot, or small hand-granade, when either of these may be conveniently had.

Next take a piece of triangular board of about four inches on the side, and through this cut a triangular mortice of about two inches on the side. Near the corners of this board let there be inserted three small nails or pieces of wire, to serve as feet for it to stand on.

Method of making the Adjustment, or finding the Quantity of the Index-Error.

At any time when the sun shines, set your triangular board on a table, the sill of a window, or any other convenient stand exposed to the sun, and place the ball with the piece of glass, on the triangular mortice; which, touching the ball only in three points, will consequently keep it steady in any position. Turn the ball into such a position that the plane of the glass may be, as nearly as you can judge, parallel to the equator; and then incline this plane, in the direction of the meridian passing through the sun, till the sun be about 45° above it.

Now take your octant, and by the fore-observation, bring one of the limbs of the sun's image, seen by a double reflection from the specula of the instrument, exactly into contact with the image of the same limb, seen by a single reflection from the surface of the glass plane, and read off the angle pointed out by the index. Immediately turn round your instrument, and bring the same limbs into contact by the back observation. If the angle now pointed out by the index be exactly the supplement (to 180°) of the former angle, the horizon-glass for the back-observation will be truly adjusted, or exactly at right-angles with the horizon-glass for the fore-observation: But if these two be not equal, then take half their difference, which will be the correction or in-

dex-error for the back-observation ; supposing the fore-horizon-glass to have been previously well adjusted. This correction will be additive to all angles measured by the back-observation, when the angle pointed out by the index in the first of the above observations is greater than the supplement of the other, and vice versa.

For the sake of greater accuracy, you may repeat these observations till you have taken two, four, or six sets ; observing that if in your first set you begin with the fore-observation, as above directed, then in your second set you must begin with the back-observation, and so on. A mean of the corrections thus obtained may be taken as the *true* correction of adjustment.

I shall conclude this paper with the following miscellaneous remarks, relative to the subject.

1. If the arch of excess beyond 90° be but small, as in some octants is the case, then it may be necessary to place the index one or two degrees before the 0, on the extra arch, and adjust the fore-horizon-glass to this position of the index. You will thus obtain a greater range for the index in adjusting the back-horizon-glass.

2. When the reflecting glass-plane is placed in the position above mentioned, viz. at right angles to the plane of the meridian passing through the sun, then the sun's path in the heavens will, for several minutes, be very nearly parallel to the said plane ; and therefore no sensible error is to be apprehended from the increase or decrease of the sun's altitude above this plane during the interval between the first and second observations in the same set. But even if this should be the case, from the glass plane being placed considerably *out* of the above position, yet, by conducting the observations as above directed, the small errors arising from this source will naturally correct one another.

3. When the polish is taken off one surface of a piece of glass, then the image of the sun, being reflected only

from one surface, will appear single and distinct; whereas the image reflected from both surfaces, will, most frequently, appear double or indistinct; arising from the want of parallelism between the surfaces.

4. The image of the sun seen by a single reflection from one surface of the glass plane, and that seen by a double reflection from the specula of the instrument, will both appear nearly of the same shade or degree of brightness; and this will seldom be so intense as to require any screen or coloured glass to be interposed between the eye and the image.

5. In making observations with this instrument, whether for the purpose of adjusting the glasses, or for any other purpose, where great accuracy is required, it is necessary that the point on the index-speculum from which the first reflection is made, that on the horizon-glass from which the second reflection is made, and the eye-hole through which the image is viewed, be all in a plane, parallel to the plane of the instrument. This will be effected by wrapping a piece of tape, or the like, round the index speculum, leaving only a bare strip of about a quarter of an inch broad, parallel to the plane of the instrument, and at the same height above it as the eye-hole, and transparent part of the horizon-glass.

6. The eye-hole is generally made too small. In measuring angles on land, as in the practice of surveying, (for which purpose this instrument is much preferable to any other in common use,) in taking altitudes at sea, in measuring the angular distance of the sun and moon, but especially of the moon and a star; the eye has generally need of all the light that can be admitted from the object seen by direct vision.—The eye-hole therefore, should, I think, be nearly as large as the ordinary size of the pupil of the eye; nor is any inaccuracy, in this case, to be apprehended from the line of vision not being parallel to the plane of the instrument; the eye being capable of placing

placing itself with great exactness opposite the *centre* of the eye-hole.

The same objection and remedy are applicable to the slits in the sight-vanes, of the common surveying instruments.

7. Mr. Maskyline, and others, recommend the sun itself as the best object by which to adjust the fore-horizon-glasses.—There is however considerable difficulty attending this mode of adjustment.—The sun is too bright to be viewed directly, without a piece of coloured glass interposed between it and the eye; and even if the eye-piece be furnished with such an appendage (which in the common octant is seldom the case) still the two images will be of very different shades; and either the one seen by direct vision will be too bright, or that seen by reflection will be too faint for an accurate observation of their coincidence or contact.—This difficulty may however be obviated in the following manner.

Every octant is furnished with at least two coloured glasses, of different shades—take the darkest of these out of its frame, and with a thread fasten it on *behind* the horizon-glass, and turn down the other, between this and the index-speculum: The two images of the sun will thus be generally of the same shade, or nearly so, and the adjustment may then be made with the utmost ease and safety to the eye. Or, which is perhaps better.—Place your eye behind the fore-horizon-glass, and, looking through this towards the centre of the index speculum, hold the instrument in such a position as that the line of vision may be directed to any point in the heavens, &c. at the angular distance of 90 degrees from the sun; and then, the index being placed at 0, two images of the sun will appear, both by a single reflection, one from the index-speculum, and the other from the back part of the fore-horizon-glass; and by bringing these images into coincidence or contact, as when you

look directly at the sun, the adjustment may be made, or the index-error found, with great ease and exactness. If the images of the sun should be too bright for the eye, one of the coloured glasses belonging to the instrument may be held before the eye. The two images of the sun, thus viewed, will appear nearly of the same shade, since the one from the index-speculum, which would otherwise appear the brightest, will lose part of its light by passing through the horizon-glass.

After all, I am of opinion that this adjustment may be made with equal accuracy, and much more ease, by any well defined object on land, as the edge of a chimney, the roof of a house, or the like, at a sufficient distance.

Any one may satisfy himself that this is the case, by repeatedly measuring the error of adjustment in the common way, viz. by moving the index till he produces an apparent coincidence between the object seen directly and by reflection. If a chimney, or the like, be the object viewed, he will scarce ever find any of these errors to differ from the mean error more than *one minute*; and the difference of such errors, when the sun is used, will not be less, but generally greater.

If the distance between the index-speculum and the line of direct vision (viz. a line joining the eye-hole and horizon-glass) should not exceed three inches, which it seldom does, then the parallax of the instrument will not amount to a quarter of a minute, and may therefore be safely neglected; provided the object viewed be at the distance of two thirds of a mile. If a suitable object at such a distance cannot be readily found, then you may take one at any given distance, and compute the parallax to be allowed for that distance, thus—Multiply the constant number 95 (the nat. tang. of 1 to rad. $\frac{1}{180}$) by the distance, in inches, of the centre of the index-speculum above the line of direct vision, and dividing the
product

product by the distance of the object in yards, the quotient will be the parallax of the instrument, or correction of adjustment, for that distance in minutes. For example, if the height of the index-speculum above the line of vision be three inches, and the distance of the object 150 yards: then $\frac{95 \times 3}{150} = 1.9$ will be the error of

adjustment. If therefore you place the index so much behind the 0, on the limb of the instrument and then adjust the horizon-glass by an object at the above distance, the adjustment will be true for an object considered as at an infinite distance.

From the above rule it is obvious that much exactness in measuring or estimating the distance of the object you adjust by is not necessary, provided that distance be not very small; for, in the above example, an error in the distance even of 10 yards would have produced an error of no more than about $\frac{1}{10}$ of a minute in computing the parallax of the instrument.

8. In measuring angles by this instrument, when the object seen by direct vision is at no great distance, the parallax of the instrument must be taken into consideration. In such cases it is commonly recommended, previously to adjust the horizon-glass by that object; but this, in the back-observation, would be attended with very great difficulty—it will therefore be best always to keep the back-horizon-glass at the same adjustment, and make the necessary correction, as above directed, for the distance of the object.

I am, Sir, with much esteem

Yours, &c.

ROBERT PATTERSON.